Non-Class A templates in homology modeling of Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor 2

Stefan Mordalski, Jagna Witek, Andrzej J. Bojarski

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Institute of Pharmacology Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow

e-mail: <u>stefanm@if-pan.krakow.pl</u>

Introduction

Homology modeling of Class C GPCRs is a challenging task. Until recently, the only available crystal templates were those of Class A receptors, rather evolutionary distant ones (with sequence identity/similarity oscillating around 19/30 percent) with both ortho- and allosteric binding sites located within heptahelical transmembrane bundle and extracellular loops. However, during the last few months three new crystals appeared, being first structures of GPCRs from other classes of the superfamily. Smoothened Receptor [Wang, 2013], Glucagon Receptor [Siu, 2013], and Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor Receptor 1 [Hollenstein, 2013] potentially open a new avenue for homology modeling studies over distant targets, including Class C GPCRs. In this research for each of those crystal templates a series of 100 homology models of mGlu2 Receptor is generated and evaluated in Virtual Screening like protocol to estimate their applicability for the screening purposes. The mGluR family consists of eight proteins divided into three groups corresponding to sequence similarities, pharmacology and physiological role. These groups are: I (mGluR1, -5), II (mGluR2, -3) and III (mGluR4, -6, -7, -8). Group II lies in field of our interest due to its potential as therapeutic target for stroke and pain drugs.

CRFR1_HUMAN115HYHVAAIINYLGHCISLVALLVAFVLFLRAR145GLR_HUMAN136MYSSFQVMYTVGYSLSLGALLLALAILGGLS164OPSD_BOVIN34PWQFSMLAAYMFLLIMLGFPINFLTLYVTVQ64GRM2_HUMAN561IRWGDAWAVGPVTIACLGALATLFVLGVFVR591

CRFR1 HUMAN 150 LRNIIHANLIAAFILRNATWFVVQLTMSPE 179

CRFR1_HUMAN266VYTDYIYQGPMALVLLINFIFLFNIV291GLR_HUMAN300NMGFWWILRFPVFLAILINFFIFVRI325OPSD_BOVIN200NESFVIYMFVVHFIIPLIVIFFCYGQ225GRM2_HUMAN722NHRDASMLGSLAYNVLLIALCTLYAF747

CRER1 HUMAN 303 TSETIOARKAVKATLVLLPLLGITYMLAFVN- 333

Methods

The sequence alignment of the templates and mGluR2 were developed using structural alignment between each crystal and the structure of bovine rhodopsin (PDB: 1u19), and existing alignment of mGluR2 and the latter. Manual adjustments were applied to ensure proper orientation of the amino acids involved in ligand binding [Gregory, 2010].

Homology models were generated with Modeller 9.12 [Sali, 1993] with restrains for the secondary structure of the helices (excluding bending

						~	
GLR_HUMAN	171	CTRNAIHANLFASFVLKASSVLVIDGLLRT 20	00	GLR HUMAN	342	DYKFRLAKSTLTLIPLLGVHE V VFAFVTDEHA	37
OPSD_BOVIN	71	PLNYILLNLAVADLFMVFGGFTTTLYTSLH 16	00	OPSD BOVIN	246	AEKEVTRMVIIMVIAFLICWL P YAGVAFYIFT	27
GRM2_HUMAN	597	VVKASGRELCYILLGGVFLCYCMTFIFIAK 62	26	grm2_human	754	ENFNEAKFIGFTMYTTCII wlafl p if yvtss	78
	101		λτ 317		220		

CRFR1_HUMAN184NVGWCRLVTAAYNYFHVTNFFWMFGEGCYLHTAI217CRFR1_HUMAN338EVSRVVFIYFNAFLESFQGFFVSVFAC364GLR_HUMAN216LSDGAVAGCRVAAVFMQYGIVANYCWLLVEGLYL249GLR_HUMAN375GTLRSAKLFFDLFLSSFQGLLVAVLYC401OPSD_BOVIN106GPTGCNLEGFFATLGGEIALWSLVVLAIERYVVV139OPSD_BOVIN285PIFMTIPAFFAKTSAVYNPVIYIMMNK311GRM2_HUMAN629TAVCTLRRLGLGTAFSVCYSALLTKTNRIARIFG662GRM2_HUMAN794MCVSVSLSGSVVLGCLFAPKLHIILFQ820

CRFR1_HUMAN227RLRAWMFICIGWGVPFPIIVAWAI248GLR_HUMAN263FFSLYLGIGWGAPMLFVVPWAVVK286OPSD_BOVIN150ENHAIMGVAFTWVMALACAAPPLV173GRM2_HUMAN677ASQVAICLALISGQLLIVVAWLVV700

Figure 1. Sequence alignment between mGluR2 and Bovine Rhodopsin, Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor Receptor 1 and Glucagon Receptor with indicated point mutation for mGluR (red) and CaSR (blue). Residues in bold correspond to X.50 in Ballesteros – Weinstein notation. Helices I-IV – left panel, V-VII – right panel.



regions).

Docking experiments were conducted with Glide 5.7 (SP mode) using a set of 112 known allosteric modulators of mGluR2 acquired from ChEMBL database and a set of 1000 drug-like decoys from Schrödinger. The analysis of the docking results was performed with home made scripts and Schrödinger Suite 2013.

Results

For all of the investigated templates, a sequence alignments with mGluR2 were created (Fig. 1). Unfortunately for the Smoothened Receptor, the resulting models were not in accordance with the mutational data for mGluR2 and so those models were rejected from further studies.

Docking experiments performed led to up to 110 out of 112 active compounds docked for the Glucagon Receptor template and 100 for CRF Receptor 1 mold. Shapes of received binding sites are presented on Figure 2, and enrichment curves obtained for docking active compounds along with decoys are on Figure 3.

Conclusions

The results of VS like experiments show the potential of models on non Class A templates for further research. Satisfactory compatibility with mutational data, along with high number of known ligands recognized prove, that new Class B GPCR crystal templates are indeed of better use for distant targets such as mGluR2.

Figure 2. Binding sites of models obtained fr different templates: CRFR1 (A) and GLR (B).



Figure 3. The results of screening like experiments for mGluR2 models built with different templates: CRFR 1 (**A**) and Glucagon Receptor (**B**).

References

- Gregory KJ, Dong EN, Meiler J, Conn PJ. Allosteric modulation of metabotropic glutamate receptors: structural insights and therapeutic potential. Neuropharmacology. 2011;60(1):66-81.
- Hollenstein K, Kean J, Bortolato A, Cheng RK, Doré AS, Jazayeri A, Cooke RM, Weir M, Marshall FH, Structure of class B GPCR corticotropin-releasing factor receptor 1. Nature. 2013 Jul 25;499(7459):438-43.
- Sali A, Blundell TL. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. J Mol Biol. 1993;234(3):779-815.
- Siu FY, He M, de Graaf C, Han GW, Yang D, Zhang Z, Zhou C, Xu Q, Wacker D, Joseph JS, Liu W, Lau J, Cherezov V, Katritch V, Wang MW, Stevens RC, Structure of the human glucagon class B G-protein-coupled receptor. Nature. 2013 Jul 25;499(7459):444-9.
- Wang C, Wu H, Katritch V, Han GW, Huang XP, Liu W, Siu FY, Roth BL, Cherezov V, Stevens RC, Structure of the human smoothened receptor bound to an antitumour agent. Nature. 2013 May 16;497(7449):338-43.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by project UDA-POIG.01.03.01-12-063/09-00 co-financed by European Union from the European Fund of Regional Development (EFRD); <u>http://www.prokog.pl</u>





